1	TOBACCO
2	A TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	A ALINIKWE
8	
9	Executive Committee
10 11	Wednesday, May 5, 2004
	11:00 a.m.
12 13	Hotel Roanoke and Conference Center
14	Roanoke, Virginia
15	Rodnore, Viiginia
16	
17	APPEARANCES:
18	The Honorable Terry G. Kilgore, Vice Chairman
19	The Honorable Kathy J. Byron
20	The Honorable Allen W. Dudley
21	The Honorable Joseph P. Johnson, Jr.
22	The Honorable Frank M. Ruff
23	The Honorable Gary D. Walker
24	The Honorable William C. Wampler, Jr. (by telephone)
25	
26	<u>COMMISSION STAFF</u> :
27	Carthan F. Currin, III - Executive Director
28	Mr. Ned Stephenson - Manager of Strategic Investments
29	Stephane Wass - Director of Finance
30	
31	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:
32	Frank F. Ferguson, Senior Assistant Attorney General
33	
34	DELECATE VILCORE III 1141' (' (1 1 1 4
35	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'll call this meeting to order, we're about
36	twenty minutes late. Glad to have everyone with us. If you would call the roll?
37	MR. CURRIN: Mr. Bryant? MR. BRYANT: (No response.)
38 39	MR. CURRIN: Delegate Byron?
39 40	DELEGATE BYRON: Here.
40	MR. CURRIN: Delegate Dudley?
42	DELEGATE DUDLEY: Here.
43	MR. CURRIN: Delegate Johnson?
	Till Collins in Delegate Commons

CRANE-SNEAD & ASSOCIATES, INC. 4914 Fitzhugh Avenue, Suite 203 Richmond, Virginia 23230 Tel. No. (804) 355-4335

1	DELEGATE JOHNSON: Here.
2	MR. CURRIN: Senator Puckett?
3	SENATOR PUCKETT: (No response.)
4	MR. CURRIN: Senator Ruff?
5	SENATOR RUFF: Here.
6	MR. CURRIN: Mr. Schewel?
7	MR. SCHEWEL: (No response.)
8	MR. CURRIN: Mr. Walker?
9	MR. WALKER: Here.
10	MR. CURRIN: Senator Wampler?
11	SENATOR WAMPLER: Here, by telephone.
12	MR. CURRIN: Mr. Hawkins?
13	MR. HAWKINS: (No response.)
14	MR. CURRIN: Mr. Chairman?
15	DELEGATE KILGORE: Here. Do we have a quorum?
16	MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. We have six here for your
18	benefit, Senator Wampler. Senator Wampler, do you have a budget in front of you?
19	SENATOR WAMPLER: I have a one-page document entitled, FY
20	2005 Proposed Budget, one page.
21	MR. CURRIN: William, for your benefit I'm going to do a
22	powerpoint presentation and add some meat to that page.
23	DELEGATE KILGORE: We have the Minutes from the November
24	23rd meeting of the Executive Committee at Virginia Tech. Do I have a motion?
25	DELEGATE JOHNSON: I move they be adopted or approved as
26	mailed.
27	DELEGATE BYRON: Second.
28	DELEGATE KILGORE: All in favor say aye? (Ayes.) Those are
29	approved. I'll recognize our Executive Director and Stephane for the budget presentation.
30	MR. CURRIN: First I wanted to update you on our cash balance. If
31	you recall in April 2002, the Commission changed to a reimbursement basis for grant
32	distributions. As you can see from this chart, our cash balances have gone up
33	significantly because we are now better managing those funds and only sending out grant
34	funds when they are actually needed. That means we are earning more interest and we
35	are better able to monitor the progress of our grant awards.
36	Just to bring you up-to-date on how the actual Master Settlement Agreement
37	revenue compares to the forecasted amounts. This graph shows the annual totals for the
38	first five years of our existence. The first bar reflects the unadjusted amount estimated in
39	the original MSA agreement. The blue bar shows the latest adjusted TD revenue forecast
40	from the Virginia Department of Treasury through Global Insight. That is an entity that
41	does an analysis for Virginia's Treasury for the Treasurer's Office to give them some
42	perspective on what they predict. DELEGATE KILGORE: When you say TD forecast, what does that
43	DELEGATE KILGORE: When you say TD forecast, what does that

1 mean? 2 MS. WASS: Treasury Department. MR. CURRIN: This chart takes into account the adjustments for 3 inflation, domestic consumption and other factors affecting our revenue stream to the 4 MSA. The green bar shows what actually was received for fiscal year 2000 through 5 2004. The latest forecasts have lowered FY '05 MSA revenue to sixty-three point one 6 million dollars. 7 8 We received this year's MSA payment a couple of weeks ago, totaling sixtythree point six million dollars. When added to the six hundred forty-five thousand 9 received last October in disputed payments, our total MSA revenue for 2004 was sixty-10 four point two million dollars, or two hundred twenty thousand one hundred eighty-three 11 12 dollars more than budgeted for. We actually received close to a quarter of a million dollars more than we had expected. 13 DELEGATE KILGORE: Do we have a good feeling, Carthan, that 14 what we are projecting and budgeting for is going to be within two or three hundred 15 thousand either way? 16 MR. CURRIN: We do our very best to be as conservative in our 17 analysis so that we are not too far out on a limb. We have been fortunate to date, and if I 18 19 am not mistaken the Director of Finance can correct me, so far we have been kind of underestimating a little bit so that we are pleasantly surprised at the end of the day. But 20 21 one of the points I wanted you to know about is that originally what was forecasted is down in actual dollars that we were getting. 22 23 DELEGATE KILGORE: You are talking about when we originally 24 started the MSA payments? 25 MR. CURRIN: That's right. DELEGATE KILGORE: It was assumed we would be getting X 26 27 amount of dollars, and that number is down? MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir. 28 MS. WASS: The FY '05 revenue amount is what is in the budget 29 bill. 30 31 MR. CURRIN: Interest earnings through the third quarter of this fiscal year is one point one million dollars, one hundred nineteen thousand more than 32 budgeted. As in our past, fourth quarter interest will go to the General Fund pursuant to 33 34 the Appropriations Act. The current budget bill does not contain language to withhold any interest earnings for the next biennium, including our fourth quarter, as in the past 35 they have been taking the fourth quarter interest, or the Commonwealth has, and you 36 37 have to understand that, and that is the way it is. As things now stand that is not, or at 38 least from what we can gather, that is not going to happen. On a little more negative note, I have some update for the Committee on 39 securitization. On April 21st Moody's Investor Services downgraded the tobacco bonds 40 because of the denial of the petitions for a rehearing by the United States Court of 41

Appeals for the Second Circuit in the Freedom Holdings case, and I'm not particularly

familiar with that case. Frank Ferguson will be here at some point if you have any

42

tobacco bonds have been downgraded to BAA3, which is one notch above junk bonds. 2 The New York deal excluding New York City have been lowered to speculative grade 3 4 category. 5 DELEGATE KILGORE: The New York BA1, is that lower than 6 BAA3? MR. CURRIN: Better than. In essence what I am sharing with you, 7 from what we have been told, is that the market conditions are not favorable at this 8 juncture for us to even consider looking at another transaction. 9 Before I go into detail on the budget, Mr. Chairman, I'd like the Committee to 10 know that the Director of Finance and myself independently briefed Chairman Hawkins 11 and Secretary Schewel on the budget that I am going to present to you today. 12 DELEGATE DUDLEY: In talking about securitization, we had 13 some costs associated with trying to make that happen. 14 MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir. 15 DELEGATE DUDLEY: Do you have a rough idea what the final 16 figure is? 17 MR. CURRIN: Yes, we have that, I think, to the penny. 18 19 MS. WASS: That is four hundred thirty-four thousand. We had six hundred fifty thousand budgeted for securitization costs. To date we have paid bills that 20 21 have totaled four hundred thirty-four thousand. DELEGATE DUDLEY: Is that it? 22 23 MS. WASS: I think that is it. There are some outstanding bills that have not been sent to the rating agencies, I hear they are going to drop those and not 24 charge us. 25 MR. CURRIN: Thank you, Delegate Dudley. Senator Wampler, can 26 27 you hear me? SENATOR WAMPLER: Yes. 28 MR. CURRIN: On the issue that Delegate Dudley raised about 29 costs dealing with securitization, I have been working with Treasury to be as hard-nosed 30 as we can be about the fact that the deal didn't take place. We're trying our best to 31 diminish as many costs as possible. So, so far we have been successful in that respect. 32 When we pulled the trigger and the deal went forward there was an understanding we 33 34 were going to be charged. DELEGATE KILGORE: That four hundred thirty-four thousand is 35 gone? 36 37 MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir, legal fees and others. MS. WASS: If we would decide to securitize again, we're told that 38 some of those fees would probably apply to a new transaction. 39 DELEGATE KILGORE: Frank, welcome. This Freedom case that 40 we were talking about, the Second Circuit and not rehearing the Freedom case. 41 MR. CURRIN: Senator Wampler, Frank Ferguson has arrived. 42 MR. FERGUSON: My understanding of the Freedom Holding case, 43

questions on that, or more details, I'm sure he can share that with you. Most of the

1 and I'm trying to remember the specific issue in it. It really has to do primarily with subsequent participating manufacturers, or ones that were not grandfathered, and they 2 have some attacks on the MSA. As a result of that it is really not directly an attack on the 3 escrow statute. The reason the Fourth Circuit sent it back was a procedural issue. I don't 4 think they have gotten to any of the substantive merits yet. My understanding is that 5 New York is still fairly confident that they will prevail ultimately on the merits in that 6 case. They were procedural issues, as I recall. I was surprised they did it, and my 7 8 reaction is that they were looking for an excuse.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. CURRIN: Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to present to you and the Executive Committee for your review and comment what Staff has worked on for an '05 fiscal year budget. This will be our fifth fiscal year that the Commission will be working from. The proposed budget was e-mailed or faxed to you last week, and it will be the starting point for today's discussion. The total proposed '05 budget is sixty-four point six million dollars. Sixty-three point one million will be from estimated MSA revenues and the remaining amount from interest earnings. Ninety-seven percent of the proposed budget will go toward our programs in the Commission's five major priorities as outlined in our Long-Range Plan, and the balance will be for administrative purposes. The '05 administrative budget reflects a seventeen percent decrease from '04. This is mainly due to contingent securitization costs budgeted in '04 that Delegate Dudley referred to earlier. The slight increase in salaries and fringe benefits is due to the increased health insurance costs for employees and to fully fund last year's state salary increase of two point two five percent. The contractual services line item includes expenses such as indemnification processing costs, legal services, postage, printing, et cetera. This amount is lower primarily because the '04 budget included monies for a search firm, and ultimately we did not use the search firm in selecting our Director of Strategic Investments. That was at least two hundred plus thousand dollars.

The increase in travel, lodging and meals reflects an increase in Commission's meetings and travel. About half of the transfer payments line item is for central service agency charges. When I hear this it sounds very bureaucratic to me. I'll have Stephane explain to you exactly what that means. I can, but I think it would be more to your --she'll cut to the chase quicker. Senator Wampler, this is under the transfer payments?

SENATOR WAMPLER: I'm following you.

MR. CURRIN: Could you give us a little detail as to what exactly this is and why we have to go through it?

MS. WASS: In the budget there was language that transfers from non-general fund agencies, a certain amount for central service agencies, agency charges to non-general fund agencies. This is for the non-general fund agencies, a proportionate share of central service services such as the cash management services that Treasury provides, check processing, everything from DPB, Department of Planning and Budget, to Department of Accounting and Treasury. This is the amount in the budget bill, which was about two hundred fifty thousand.

DELEGATE DUDLEY: Is that specified as a dollar amount? MS. WASS: Yes.

1	DEI	LEGATE DUDLEY: From each non-state agency, we were
2	singled out?	
3	•	WASS: No, it is a long list of agencies that had non-general
4	funding.	
5		IATOR RUFF: Was it based on a percentage?
6		WASS: I believe there is a formula used by DPB to count the
7		essed and the dollar amount transactions.
8	<u> </u>	CURRIN: Probably work load, and based on that, Tobacco
9	Foundation.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10	MS.	WASS: There is a long list of agencies that are charged central
11	service agencies.	
12	_	CURRIN: For being part of the family.
13		ATOR RUFF: Does that line include anything else other than
14	transfers?	, ,
15		WASS: Yes.
16	SEN	ATOR RUFF: Was the base figure from last year, was that the
17	same category, or was tha	
18		WASS: The remaining is for MSA endorsement for the Office
19		Department of Taxation, and that was in the last Appropriation
20	Act.	
21	DEI	LEGATE BYRON: Is that actual figure there six thousand?
22		WASS: They get it before June 30th.
23	DEI	LEGATE BYRON: So it is around two seventeen, if you budget
24	two seventeen, you expec	et double?
25	MS.	WASS: Right, because the two seventeen is only for MSA
26	endorsement. The FY'05	budget bill had the central service agency charges for us.
27	DEI	LEGATE BYRON: The same thing with the salaries you have
28		, is that based on today's date?
29	MS.	WASS: As of March 31st.
30	DEI	LEGATE BYRON: The actual budget goes through when?
31	MS.	WASS: June 30th.
32	DEI	LEGATE BYRON: That really doesn't year-to-date actually,
33	some items and others it	does?
34	MS.	WASS: That's right. The same with rent. We make the rent at
35		, and they charge us the full amount in July for the entire year.
36		LEGATE BYRON: Do you ever do it the other way around, you
37		ually expect you'll need instead of before?
38		WASS: We project out based on certain things.
39	DEI	LEGATE BYRON: I'm trying to get a clearer picture. The
40		ther it is on target or it is way off target.
41		WASS: Yes, in developing the budget we use a projection of
42		rest of the year. So there are some things like salaries and
43	supplies that you project	out based on the number of months that have passed and how

1	much you think the end of the year will be, but there are certain things that are done one
2	time.
3	MR. CURRIN: Delegate Byron, I'll work with her and take your
4	point
5	DELEGATE BYRON: It will just give you a clearer picture, it
6	makes a big gap if you're only looking at the year-to-date.
7	MR. CURRIN: Thank you, Delegate Byron.
8	This next pie chart reflects what I just told you.
9	DELEGATE KILGORE: Administration, two point two million
10	dollars.
11	MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir.
12	SENATOR RUFF: Mr. Chairman, why do we keep buying more
13	furniture and equipment? Eventually the office will be full.
14	MS. WASS: We're getting to the point now that the computers we
15	purchased the first year are starting to become obsolete, and we're trying to get on a
16	replacement program where we replace a few computers every year rather than all at one
17	time. There is additional money needed for furniture.
18	DELEGATE BYRON: There is another example there of
19	technology separated out and the costs
20	MR. CURRIN: I hear you. The next slide, Senator Wampler, what
21	you see before you are the five major priorities of the Commission as outlined in the
22	Long-Range Plan.
23	DELEGATE KILGORE: That would be the next heading,
24	Indemnification, Technology, Job Creation, Innovation, Human Infrastructure, Education.
25	MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir.
26	DELEGATE KILGORE: Regional Economic Development, which
27	would be Southwest and Southside or what monies they have.
28	MR. CURRIN: Correct. We are proposing that we commit a total
29	of twenty million dollars for indemnification, thirteen million for flue-cured, seven
30	million for burley.
31	DELEGATE KILGORE: What are the changes there?
32	MR. CURRIN: Slightly more money is going toward Economic
33	Development in Southside. In Southwest instead of an eighty/twenty split, Staff is
34	proposing a seventy/thirty split, seventy percent for indemnification and thirty percent for
35	Southwest Economic Development.
36	DELEGATE KILGORE: Why is that?
37	MR. CURRIN: The best way to express that is that the Staff's view
38	is that we recommend to you that in Southwest we felt like having some extra dollars for
39	some important projects that you all may deem necessary for Economic Development
40	purposes, and it would be better to give you all a cushion, or more of a cushion.
41	DELEGATE KILGORE: Are we getting close to paying up that
42	amount of indemnification?
43	MR. CURRIN: Stephane has precise figures, and we are close to

1	the burley, flue-cured
2	SENATOR RUFF: Can you go through that, because our growers
3	are uptight about the fact that we voted to give them less money right before the last cut,
4	and they perceive we are shafting them.
5	DELEGATE KILGORE: I agree, that's what I was getting at.
6	SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, I think that was Senator
7	Ruff, you say before the last cut, Frank, was that the quota reduction, is that what you
8	were referencing?
9	SENATOR RUFF: Yes. The quota reduction was announced in
10	December, and our budget was passed in July.
11	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right, Stephane.
12	MS. WASS: In burley, after seven million dollars was paid in
13	FY'05, and if the Phase II payments continue and the quota remains the same, the
14	remaining obligation will be ten and a half million for burley. For flue-cured, if thirteen
15	million is committed in FY'05 the remaining obligation is ninety point three million.
16	That assumes further quota cuts do not take place, that there is no buy-out, that Phase II
17	payments continue as projected.
18	DELEGATE KILGORE: Assuming all of those?
19	MS. WASS: Yes.
20	DELEGATE KILGORE: Flue-cured, if you take the next four, five
21	or six years to get to ninety million paying at thirteen or fourteen million dollars a year.
22	
23	SENATOR RUFF: Put in perspective, how much did it grow last
24	year from that drop in quota?
25	MS. WASS: I'll have to look it up.
26	DELEGATE KILGORE: But the amount did grow?
27	MS. WASS: It dropped overnight, and it increased our obligation by
28	sixty million.
29	DELEGATE DUDLEY: We were scheduled to pay out in 2006
30	before, under the same scenario and figures you were using. Prior to the last quota
31	reduction, I think we were looking at projections of 2006, I think, fully indemnified.
32	DELEGATE KILGORE: Every time there is a quota reduction, the
33	Staff builds that back into whatever our obligation is?
34	MS. WASS: Yes, it is a moving target.
35	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'm glad to know that.
36	MR. FERGUSON: It is capped, I think, at one point two billion.
37	MR. CURRIN: That's right, in the statute.
38	MR. FERGUSON: If all quota was lost at the end of the day and
39	there is no make up for anything that would be the highest possible obligation. It is soft
40	language, but yes.
41	MR. CURRIN: Eight point eight million dollars from Staff's
42	recommendation will be dedicated to technology and telecommunications projects. Eigh
43	point five million would be for innovation/job creation, including five point five million

1	for Deal Closing and three million for FY'05 for Special Projects.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: Tell me what the difference is in Deal
3	Closings and Special Projects.
4	MR. CURRIN: Those are two separate entities. Senator Wampler
5	chairs the Special Projects Committee, and Delegate Dudley is Vice Chair, and then we
6	have the TROF Committee. But just in this broad term "innovation/job creation" we put
7	both under there, but they are two separate silos of money.
8	SENATOR RUFF: The technology dollar is an odd amount, what is
9	the reason for that?
10	MS. WASS: Basically, once you subtract out the administration
11	budget and some other dollar amounts there was an odd amount left over.
12	MR. CURRIN: We gave a little more balance to technology
13	knowing that Southwest and Southside had ongoing projects.
14	MS. WASS: Seventy-three twenty-seven balance.
15	DELEGATE KILGORE: You say here you have an unobligated
16	balance carry over of technology of seven sixteen. Is that included in the eight eight?
17	MS. WASS: No.
18	DELEGATE KILGORE: Eight point eight plus seven six.
19	MR. CURRIN: Yes. Then we have eight million dollars committed
20	to the Education Committee. We have seventeen million for regional
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: Why did we reduce that?
22	MR. CURRIN: We were looking at some of the other factors. For
23	example, the Technology Committee, both regions are kind of going full speed now in
24	deployment, and we felt that from the Staff perspective that that was important to give a
25	little extra push.
26	DELEGATE KILGORE: We still have the six hundred plus
27	thousand that is not added in?
28	MS. WASS: Yes.
29	MR. CURRIN: That is correct.
30	DELEGATE KILGORE: Will this keep us from doing what we
31	have been doing with the community colleges?
32	MR. CURRIN: That is a decision that the Commission or Senator
33	Ruff's Committee has not grappled with yet, for this upcoming fiscal year. You may
34	recall the Education Committee supported eight hundred fifty seven thousand the first
35	two fiscal years to seven schools, and then the Committee recommended the Commission
36	support, Senator Ruff and the Committee, to reduce that to four hundred thousand.
37	SENATOR WAMPLER: I couldn't hear Carthan in his response.
38	MR. CURRIN: I was just reacting to the question. The first two
39	years we supported the community colleges, and we did so at the level of eight hundred
40	fifty-seven thousand each for seven schools, three in Southwest and four in Southside.
41	Then Senator Ruff and the Education Committee felt that we needed to reduce that
42	amount, so they cut it down to four hundred thousand for this fiscal year and for last
43	fiscal year. The Committee has not made a decision as to what they will do for this fiscal

Exec. 05/05/04 10 of 25

1	year, yet.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: I can say, and maybe Delegate Johnson
3	and Senator Wampler, but in Southwest this has been one of the best investments, I
4	believe, that we have made in the education area, because we hear a lot from a lot of
5	individuals. A lot of families have spoken in favor of it, it really helps them. I'm sure
6	Senator Wampler has heard the same thing, too, and I know I have really heard that a lot.
7	SENATOR WAMPLER: The reason I asked Carthan to enunciate
8	further, my concern is that when the Education Committee may make a decision on
9	funding for community colleges, is that something we need to bat around today, or would
10	it be on our agenda for the Full Commission meeting or not?
11	SENATOR RUFF: We don't have an Education Committee meeting
12	set. There were a couple of things left dangling that we might try to get together before
13	the meeting. Even though the amount that the community colleges were guarantee
14	dropped from eight hundred fifty-seven to four hundred thousand, I think that each of the
15	community colleges has probably gotten about the same amount of money. We just
16	require them to justify what programs were a little bit better. I think we will be fairly
17	close on those commitments to them, or whether it is for a particular program or a blank
18	check.
19	DELEGATE KILGORE: I think the ones in Southwest, I know
20	Hollins and Mountain Empire and the scholarship program.
21	SENATOR WAMPLER: I think it is a combination of grants for
22	scholarships and investing in some programs, but I would want to hedge on that a little
23	bit.
24	DELEGATE JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, do you know whether or
25	not any community college was unable to fill the needs for scholarships this past year?
26	In other words, was the demand greater than the money appropriated?
27	MR. CURRIN: None of them communicated that to me in any
28	conversations that we have had.
29	SENATOR RUFF: I would like to follow up on that, Mr. Chairman
30	I think Patrick Henry may have had some problems because of the extra layoffs at
31	Pillowtex, but they dipped into their own foundation money and they got around that.
32	DELEGATE JOHNSON: The reason I asked, Mr. Chairman, is that
33	I feel like it is not wise to have this much money sitting there when we have deserving
34	students that could use the money.
35	MR. CURRIN: I'll check into that, Delegate Johnson.
36	DELEGATE KILGORE: If you could, check into that before the
37	actual meeting and let some of us know.
38	MS. WASS: The eight million dollars in the FY'05 proposed budge
39	will not be in our bank until April of 2005.
40	DELEGATE KILGORE: So we have already budgeted for the
41	scholarships for this year?
42	MS. WASS: No.
43	DELEGATE KILGORE: No, okay.

1	MS. WASS: No, the money will not be here until April.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: What are we doing about letting the
3	community colleges know or giving them some idea of what they can expect? Are they
4	supposed to operate on last year, or is all of that subject to change?
5	SENATOR RUFF: What we are doing with most of those is that we
6	are dealing with the following year. I don't think budgeting in the '04, '05 year. The real
7	dollar pressure issue is with the individual scholarships. Southwest was given a million,
8	and we are giving two point eight, that money has to be paid before the kids go to school.
9	I think we have been up-fronting that money out of our cash from the current or previous
0	year. Is that correct?
1	MS. WASS: Right. I guess we have been awarding money ahead of
12	actually receiving it and using existing balances.
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: We're not leaving anybody out?
14	MS. WASS: It is a risky thing to do.
15	DELEGATE DUDLEY: Not leaving anybody out, giving them
16	money before we get it. I don't know how you leave them out.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: All I was saying is that I wanted to make
18	sure that the colleges knew that they had X amount of dollars so they can advise the
19	student public that this was available, and that is all I was concerned about.
20	DELEGATE BYRON: To understand this properly then, Stephane,
21	the money for FY'04, which we have already budgeted for is in the bank and available to
22	us?
23	MS. WASS: Yes.
24	DELEGATE BYRON: The '05, which we are trying to prepare for,
25	takes effect in September?
26	MS. WASS: It is effective July 1, but we don't get our payments
27	until April the following year.
28	MR. CURRIN: That is how we have operated from day one.
29	DELEGATE BYRON: We don't really look at how we will spend
30	that money until much later in the season.
31	MR. CURRIN: So we are not clipped.
32	DELEGATE BYRON: With the exception of the unobligated carry-
33	over. I'm sure Special Projects is not sitting on seven point nine million dollars, he really
34	doesn't have all that money right now.
35	SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, I would just observe that
36	whether it was on the Deal Closing or Special Projects, I can think at the end of a fiscal
37	year where we had a couple of projects and we actually did a letter of intent, but I would
38	concur with Delegate Byron that, no, we've never spent those dollars until we have
39	actually booked them.
10	MR. CURRIN: Mr. Chairman, the Staff is recommending seventeen
11 12	million dollars for Regional Economic Development Projects to the Southwest and Southside Economic Development Committees, fourteen million Southside and three
L/	- Somuside economic Development Commuees, folitieen million Somuside and inree

million Southwest.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: You have high unobligated balances for
2	this year, that is because neither was
3	MS. WASS: Or be awarded this much.
4	DELEGATE KILGORE: That is because no one
5	MR. CURRIN: We wanted to be sure we had the money before
6	we made any awards.
7	DELEGATE KILGORE: There are applications, there is no
8	requirement that Southside award fifteen million or Southwest award three point five.
9	MR. CURRIN: No, you don't have to award any of it if you don't
10	want to.
11	DELEGATE DUDLEY: It says unobligated, but all this Economic
12	Development money is money that was basically under an allocation formula to different
13	localities.
14	DELEGATE KILGORE: Not in Southwest. We don't do the
15	allocation, we don't do that formula.
16	MR. CURRIN: The Southside formula is for Franklin County but
17	not necessarily for the Board of Supervisors, including the Chamber of Commerce.
18	DELEGATE DUDLEY: My point is that this is not extra money, it
19	says unobligated, but in effect it is
20	MS. WASS: As of March 31st it has not been awarded.
21	MR. CURRIN: You all are the ultimate decision-makers as to
22	whether they get it or not.
23	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'm not trying to pry into Southside's
24	business, but in Pittsylvania County their formula, if you took X amount of dollars there
25	is no requirement on this Commission to actually grant them X amount of dollars if they
26	do not approve of the project.
27	MR. CURRIN: You're right. The Commission can change that any
28	time they want to, the formula that is.
29	DELEGATE KILGORE: There are good ideas and bad ideas to
30	further the role of the Tobacco Commission, so I just wanted to make sure that ultimately
31	the Tobacco Commission has a role in that.
32	DELEGATE JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, for FY'04 Southwest
33	Economic Development, two million one hundred thousand, an unobligated balance three
34	million five hundred four hundred fourteen. How did we end up with more than we
35	started with?
36	DELEGATE KILGORE: We carried over.
37	SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, inasmuch as I chaired the
38	Committee for at least a portion of that year, Delegate Johnson, it was a theory that we
39	generally ascribe to that we should retain one million dollars. You will recall we changed
40	guidelines in Deal Closing and Special Projects, and that way we had the flexibility to do
41	certain deals that might not meet the new guidelines that we adopted at the Full
42	Commission meeting, I'm thinking primarily to the old Westinghouse property. Three of

the matters that we took up for that flexibility gave us that extra advantage, and that is

1 why we actually carried it forward and we, we kept a little bit up our sleeves in case we needed to do a deal right quick. 2 DELEGATE JOHNSON: Thank you. 3 MR. CURRIN: The Southside fund split is forty-eight percent for 4 indemnification, fifty-two percent for Economic Development. In Southwest the split is 5 seventy percent, these are Staff recommendations, seventy percent for indemnification 6 and thirty percent for Economic Development. The pie chart reflects what I just told you. 7 8 DELEGATE KILGORE: Carthan, are we the only state that is doing the money back to our tobacco farmers? 9 10 MR. CURRIN: On Phase I monies, yes, sir. DELEGATE KILGORE: We need to get it out more, I know we say 11 it all the time, but it needs to be out front more, I think. 12 MR. CURRIN: In the Kingsport Times recently it did a nice 13 editorial on the Commonwealth of Virginia vis-à-vis our dear friends to the west in the 14 Volunteer State of Tennessee. I don't know if you saw the editorial. 15 SENATOR RUFF: Could you send a copy of that to all the papers 16 in Southside? 17 MR. CURRIN: Yes, sir. That's a good point. 18 DELEGATE DUDLEY: Mr. Chairman, you brought up a good 19 point about comments made earlier about some farmers thought they were getting the 20 shaft. Compared to what other states are doing now, they are not getting shafted by 21 Virginia by a long shot. 22 23 DELEGATE KILGORE: I think that message needs to be out more, that we are fulfilling our obligation in Virginia. 24 MR. CURRIN: Mr. Chairman, maybe I can elicit your support and 25 members of the Committee that have connections, and also the Virginia Farm Bureau to 26 help us, because they have publications like Virginia Agribusiness Council. They could 27 say to the growers in Southwest and Southside, the Commonwealth treats you very nicely 28 compared to our neighbors. I'll go ahead and look into that. 29 DELEGATE KILGORE: That needs to be out more and sent to the 30 other Commissioners, and we can get to work with the media and get that information out 31 and take advantage of all the media outlets. 32 MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Chairman, on that matter I don't know if 33 34 it is possible or not, it seems like that information should be an insert in the envelopes with the checks if it is that important. 35 MR. CURRIN: That's a great idea, but it is problematic. 36 MS. WASS: The checks are printed by computer, the Treasury. 37 MR. CURRIN: That is all sealed, and we'd have a problem with 38 that. There are other ways to get that information out. 39 Mr. Chairman, that concludes my formal presentation. Any questions? 40 DELEGATE KILGORE: Any questions of the Executive Director 41 or Stephane, the Finance Director? I notice within the sub-group we haven't really, in 42 technology, job creation, or education, we haven't divvied that up, Carthan. Has that 43

1 been divvied up or looked at or examined by the particular committees? MR. CURRIN: Yes, absolutely. 2 DELEGATE KILGORE: The same with regional economic 3 development? 4 5 MR. CURRIN: Yes, those committees, we have a body this size, 6 they do the heavy lifting, and then it comes to the Full Commission for a recommendation. 7 8 DELEGATE KILGORE: Any questions, Senator Wampler? SENATOR WAMPLER: I'm not sure I understand what action we 9 need to complete other than this proposed budget. My concern is what we do with the 10 unobligated balances. Under the unobligated balances I see only three areas, that being 11 the indemnification of a million eighty-seven thousand seven hundred sixteen under 12 Technology and the reserve account, one point nine. My hunch is that Education 13 probably ought to revert back to the Education area. 14 DELEGATE KILGORE: I agree with that. 15 SENATOR RUFF: At the Education Committee meeting a couple of 16 weeks ago, most of that money was obligated if the Full Commission votes and are in 17 agreement with that. Correct, Ned? 18 19 MR. STEPHENSON: Correct. SENATOR WAMPLER: I think that is probably accurate. What it 20 21 boils down to, what do we recommend to the Full Commission on the amount in the indemnification reserve account? I think we know that telecommunications/technology 22 there is probably a ten million dollar need in the Southside. I know Southwest got the 23 first bite at the apple, and we still had one matter to take care of that the Director is well 24 aware of, on the preliminary engineering from Abingdon to Independence, in the amount 25 of about a hundred and fifty thousand, and had previously been awarded but was not 26 reimbursed. We've talked in Southwest, and we probably should not ask for a large 27 amount for new technology initiatives, rather a small amount for preliminary engineering. 28 DELEGATE KILGORE: I talked to some of the folks here today 29 about that, with the understanding that Southside should be ready to go or in the process 30 of being ready to go with their technology. But under indemnification that unobligated 31 balance you're talking about, Frank Ferguson, do we have any hanging obligations out 32 there that we may have to come back and pay for people who have not collected theirs? 33 34 MR. FERGUSON: As far as I know, we are not currently aware of any, but under the statute claims could potentially be made for a year after the claim 35 period ends. 36 37 MS. WASS: Unclaimed a year later. MR. FERGUSON: The money is now freed up because of that year 38 39 period past. SENATOR WAMPLER: It's my understanding that is for '03, the 40 one million eighty-seven thousand amount, and it is at the discretion of the Full 41 Commission as far as what to do. I think it is a reasonable assumption that could be 42 reinvested in one of the areas that we would choose. 43

1 MR. CURRIN: Senator Wampler's point is that in the past we funneled those balances into Deal Closings. 2 DELEGATE KILGORE: I guess the question proposed by Senator 3 Wampler, what is the best way of the unobligated balances, one point zero eight and one 4 point nine, what is the best way to spend those? Is that correct? 5 SENATOR WAMPLER: I think that is the question before the 6 7 Executive Committee, recommendation to the Full Commission, yes. 8 MR. WALKER: I would like to see us put some of that money into Special Projects. If we need it somewhere else we can move it from Special Projects to 9 Deal Closings. 10 11 SENATOR WAMPLER: I would note that we have always placed 12 dollars into Special Projects with the understanding that whatever it took to do a deal we would transfer those dollars. I think that is consistent with what we have done in the 13 14 past. SENATOR RUFF: Mr. Chairman, the reserve account was 15 mentioned at one point in time. Are there any guidelines as to how much reserve we 16 should keep? 17 MS. WASS: Last year it was decided that one point nine would be 18 satisfied in case there is some unanticipated need or interest revenue or --19 DELEGATE KILGORE: Is this one point nine, is that unobligated 20 21 balance built in for this time? MS. WASS: One point nine is the FY'04 budget. Set aside a 22 contingency reserve. If the FY'05 MSA revenue is not as forecasted we would not have 23 to go through and cut each line item. 24 SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, I would say to Senator 25 Ruff, if you look at overall each category, the five priorities, we have a rather large 26 unobligated balance, and I don't recall the large numbers in the aggregate last year. I 27 think we are in pretty good shape going into the '05 budget in terms of being able to meet 28 known commitments and future commitments. 29 SENATOR RUFF: I think there should be some reserve. I simply 30 make the point that if we adjust anything we had at least twenty-three million dollars 31 worth of requests for Education from Southside and Southwest, so there is a pent-up need 32 for Education, and I'll leave it at that. 33 34 DELEGATE BYRON: We have a mindset that if we have money in our pocket we have to spend it, and I don't agree with that concept. There are tremendous 35 needs, and there is no doubt we could spend every penny of this real quickly if we 36 decided to do that. Technology, I'd rather look at some of the issues that are before us 37 before we just kind of put it out there. We need to decide the priorities and look at some 38 of the real issues in each of those areas. We have major things going on as far as the fiber 39 in Southside, and I'm not sure where our obligations that we have already or as far as 40

Education. We need some more details before we can make a wise choice as to what we

what the extra dollars would do in that area versus another area, and the same with

are going to spend this on. We can decide how much is in reserve and how much we

41

42

want to spend.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

DELEGATE JOHNSON: I'd like to direct this to Mr. Ferguson. Do we have any danger of being criticized because we are carrying a sizable sum over, as opposed to giving it to the farmers?

MR. FERGUSON: That problem is really in the form of a political question. I'm not sure how much light I can shed or guidance I can give to that, but certainly there are always folks that will look to any kind of money that would be in existence. What I was going to add to the conversation, whether you keep a reserve account or choose to currently not obligate all the proposed or estimated MSA revenues for '05. There is a meeting going on right now in Washington with the tobacco states, all states involved in the MSA. While we are hopeful that the drop-off in MSA payments that occurred the last several years has leveled out, or will be leveling out, there is certainly no guarantee that there won't be some other hit on that or continued migration of consumption from participating manufacturers to non-participating manufactures, or that some of the so-called SPM's will not withhold some of their payments next year. I expect that will happen. The good news is that the SPM is such a small part it probably reflects about a half a million in your budget, or maybe a million at the most. That problem could get worse as years go by. My comment is that I think prudence suggests that we don't obligate every penny, and whether you put it in a reserve fund or simply choose to delay decisions on how to spend some so that it is not sort of captured by a reserve fund kind of label, and I would counsel that at least some small percentage of your funds remain unobligated until we get at least closer to April of '05 than we are now. It could be that we get more money than is forecasted. That is within the realm of possibility, but it is a very chancy proposition in May of '04 to predict precisely what those dollars are going to be in April of '05.

MS. WASS: We try to carry forward an unobligated balance, but that has to take us through next April. If you carry forward a balance it is not an unusual thing, and our money comes next April. On June 30th you should have a fairly large balance, and it has to last you until next April.

DELEGATE BYRON: I think it is very important that we make that very, very clear. We have to make that clear so that we don't spend quite as long in discussion on that and explain to help refresh people's memories that the Economic Development monies are based on a percentage that we have agreed upon. If that percentage comes back to be changed, that is a different issue. Right now those figures are based on percentages, and they are allocated for certain areas, and not that that money is just sitting there because no one has decided how they want to spend it yet. I think we are so far ahead of the game in the way that our monies are spent and obligated that the unobligated word really throws things out of kilter in that regard. We're being fiscally responsible by not throwing every penny out there.

MS. WASS: Not only the budget, but I know in prior years I was asked to show those areas where the balances are.

SENATOR WAMPLER: There maybe a distinction without a difference in what we are discussing, but three million dollars or three point seven

- 1 million, and if we want to park all of it in a reserve account I don't know that it really matters, because it takes the Full Commission vote to spend those dollars. So I think 2 Delegate Byron is right that we certainly don't spend all of that. That's the function of a 3 majority vote by the Full Commission that Mr. Ferguson reminds us of quite frequently. 4 Whether we want to allocate it in one of the five areas, subject to future authorization to 5 spend, or park it in a reserve account, I don't know that it really matters. 6 DELEGATE BYRON: Senator Wampler, if we educate the 7 committees and the chairmen of the committees to come forward to the Commission with 8 a great need, that helps us to see where the money could be immediately used, that is a 9 different issue, but right now I don't think that information is before us. 10 SENATOR RUFF: Mr. Chairman, following up on what they said, 11 if it goes into one of those categories it will be visible to the demanding public, and if it's 12 in a reserve account it is kind of invisible. 13 DELEGATE DUDLEY: Mr. Chairman, following up on what 14 Senator Ruff said, I think our recommendation should be to take the one point nine one 15 three million and move it over into a reserve account and carry it over. That represents 16 about three percent of our budget. 17 SENATOR RUFF: I didn't hear that. 18 DELEGATE DUDLEY: Move that one point nine one three million 19 into a reserve for 2005. 20 21 DELEGATE KILGORE: Which could be used by the Commission if the need arises. 22 23 MR. CURRIN: At any time if the Committee is of a mind to support our recommendation or amendment, I'd like to go to the Commission with that the 24 Executive Committee has met and made a decision as to whether they support this budget 25 or something different. 26 27 SENATOR WAMPLER: Would you speak up a little bit, please? MR. CURRIN: Senator Wampler, I was suggesting that it would be 28 helpful for the Staff if we could share with the Full Commission that the Executive 29 Committee has met and viewed the budget and either supports the Staff recommendations 30 or made some different suggestions. 31 DELEGATE KILGORE: Would it reflect what we have been 32 discussing for the last few minutes and putting that money into the reserve? 33 34 MR. CURRIN: Absolutely. DELEGATE KILGORE: It all has to be voted on at the Full 35
 - Commission anyway. Would that include the indemnification, Allen?

36 37

DELEGATE DUDLEY: The one point nine one three million is the only thing.

DELEGATE KILGORE: The only thing I was getting ready to say, Senator Wampler, and Allen said that the one point nine one three in the reserve account.

MR. WALKER: If you put it in Senator Wampler's Special Projects

Committee it is accessible to all of us, and if it has anything to do with Special Projects 42 the Commission has to vote on it anyway. 43

38

39

40

1 MR. CURRIN: Just so you all understand, there is another million dollars we have in reserve for indemnification, and that is a separate reserve. 2 MS. WASS: If you're voting on the FY'05 budget none of the carry 3 forward balances is what you are voting on. What you're voting on is that one column for 4 the FY'05 budget, the one point nine million in the reserve account is already there and it 5 6 is carried forward for FY'04. I have not budgeted any additional money for reserve. DELEGATE KILGORE: We can just keep that there. 7 8 MR. CURRIN: Absolutely. 9 DELEGATE DUDLEY: You're going to show it in that column? MS. WASS: It would not be in the FY'05 budget, because it is being 10 carried forward. So it is just a cash balance sitting there. 11 12 DELEGATE KILGORE: It's something that could be allocated by a majority vote of the Commission. 13 14 MS. WASS: Yes. SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, I understand what Stephane 15 16 said, but the point is that she should put a footnote on her spreadsheet saying that there would be a balance of one point nine one three million dollars as a function of the carry 17 forward from FY'04. 18 19 DELEGATE KILGORE: That would be good, I think that would be 20 a better explanation and save us some time. 21 MS. WASS: I don't think the unobligated balance column would appear in the budget proposed, it would just have FY'04 or FY'05. 22 23 DELEGATE KILGORE: All right, we have this budget before us, and thank you, Carthan, for your presentation. Do I have a motion that the Executive 24 Committee -----25 DELEGATE JOHNSON: I move that we recommend the adoption 26 27 of the budget as presented. SENATOR RUFF: Second. 28 DELEGATE KILGORE: Any discussion? All in favor, aye? 29 (Ayes.) Opposed, no? (No response.) The ayes have it. 30 MR. CURRIN: Mr. Chairman, a couple of quick points, and I will 31 turn this over to Ned, who is going to provide some comments and make a presentation 32 about our thoughts or our process. As we enter our fifth year we felt that with Ned's 33 34 arrival in particular we've been working to consider maybe some alterations to some of our process and some streamline suggestions. They are purely suggestions and some 35 thoughts for you all to absorb and react to and tell us if we are on the right track or the 36 37 wrong track. That would be very helpful. Our thinking is that we wanted to kind of get a sense from you all before we go to the Full Commission. 38 MR. STEPHENSON: This is advisory to the Executive Committee. 39 MR. CURRIN: We don't want to go too far unless you all feel 40 comfortable with it. The next Commission meeting after the May meeting in Danville 41 this month is our meeting in Bristol in the latter part of July. Probably the major 42 Committee that will be meeting and will have to make a decision is Special Projects, that 43

- there will probably be enough money that we will have some applications that need to be
- 2 considered. At the October Commission meeting, which is scheduled to be in
- 3 Clarksville, that is the meeting that we will use part of that Commission meeting to also
- 4 have a strategic retreat for the Full Commission. Ned will explain this in greater detail.
- 5 We have free services from John Rosenblum, who used to be the Dean of the Darden
- 6 School of Business at the University of Virginia. He's offered to help facilitate that
 - session. Without further ado I'll have Ned make his presentation to the Executive

8 Committee.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. STEPHENSON: I want to tell you that what I am about to give you is advisory in nature to the Executive Committee and that briefing, if you will, as to events that have occurred. I really wanted to use the Executive Committee to help steer this process a little bit, because very few conclusions have been reached. Just to give you a history, if you will remember, some months ago Chairman Hawkins appointed a Citizens Committee, and that group has met three times, and a great number of issues came before the Citizens Committee, and the summation of those issues really resulted in them wanting to recommend to the Full Commission that we conduct a planning session to take our Long-Range Plan and build upon it on an on-going basis. This request or recommendation will come to the Full Commission from the Citizens Committee. That recommendation being that we plan to schedule a planning retreat. I'm really kind of bringing you some of the information that came out of the Citizens Committee meeting. I placed in your packet in front of you several handouts that might guide you in what you are about to hear.

I want to say at the outset that really the purpose in this forum today is really not to debate any of the issues or open them up, because many of them would take a long time, but really it is to approach the process by which we address these issues. The sheet in front of you, or at the top of the sheet it says "Pro Forma". This is simply the plan that the Citizens Committee is suggesting to be recommended to the Full Commission. It gives you the particulars of the planning process. Carthan mentioned the date had been suggested this fall in Clarksville in October in conjunction with the regular Commission meeting. That makes for a long session, but it minimizes travel time for the session. You can see the attendees there, and so forth. I do want to make a comment about John Rosenblum. We looked for an able facilitator, and his name came up from several sources. John has been the Dean of the Darden School of Business for fifteen years, and Carthan and I met with him and pitched this idea to him as being our facilitator, and he was very interested, because he has been interested in the Commission. I want to tell you what John said when I asked him what would be your fee for conducting this planning session for us. John said, Ned, I do this in a lot of places, and if I told you what I charged you would not want to pay it, but he said, the Commonwealth of Virginia has been very good to me, and I have prospered here, and I am happy to do this for the Tobacco Commission for free. That is the basis on which John agreed to do this. The date in October is satisfactory to him, and we are working towards that date.

The next page in your handout is entitled, "Planning Session Issues." These are some of the issues that came in front of the Citizens Committee recorded as possible

topics of conversation. I emphasize some of the issues and possible topics. I would invite any of you if you have others that are especially important to you that you get them on the sheet. I am supplying all this information to our facilitator, and I encourage him to lead the discussion on some of these issues.

The third handout in the pile is part of the process that appeared before the Citizens Committee on which there are on-going scheduling issues as evidenced this week by some meetings being canceled. You can see a calendar there. I know Carthan sent this to you a few weeks ago, and it is really being developed more thoroughly. This particular version attempts to establish regular, cyclical established meeting dates for various of our initiatives so not only we can plan internally and the Commission can plan but those who can serve can know and understand the cycle with which we operate and all of these county planners know how we are going to manage our money. This particular calendar is very much open for discussion. If the Commission sees fit to adopt it we can all feel as if we have a calendar that we can really rely on. There are a lot of complicated issues in that calendar. One in particular we have already discussed this morning, and that is Stephane's comments about the timing of the receipt of money relative to the time at which we commit the money. If we use this calendar properly you can manage that process and keep ourselves out of trouble later on. This is one of the things that is likely to be on the table, and I certainly invite your comments after you get home and study it as to whether you think it meets our needs.

One of the things that Rosenblum wants to do in the process of building upon our Long-Range Plan is to work on what he terms as misalignment, figure out where we are misaligned between our plan and that which we are actually doing. There are a couple of graphs here that speak to you what our current Committee structure is, which is very familiar to all of you, and then the last page a little picture to go along with what the Long-Range Plan calls for, the printed Long-Range Plan. There is a little bit of a misalignment there, and I think that the planning session would be a time during which we can address both the plan itself if it needs to be changed or altered if not address that which we are doing and how we are functioning to try to bring those two in alignment as part of that planning process.

That really concludes the presentation that I have concerning this. Any one of these issues we can get into today at length, but I would like to invite comments from the Committee today as to the path that we are on in conducting this planning session, whether it meets with your approval or whether it needs to be adjusted before we get before the Full Commission a couple of weeks from now.

DELEGATE KILGORE: Ned, thanks for all your hard work, and thanks for what you are doing as far as a lot of these same issues that we are about to talk about. It has really been helpful to me. Looking at the structure here, the proposed structure of the Long-Range Plan, we do not have in that group any Committee that would continue to study the Long-Range Plan for the future, whether it is Technology, Education, Innovation. I would suggest that we really need to keep some group looking at where we are going and set us a map so we know where we are going, and we don't have that under the Long-Range Plan structure, unless it is somewhere here and it is

included in one of these.

MR. STEPHENSON: I think those are the very issues that can be dealt with in a planning session and find out how do we do this. I want to say at the outset this came to me through the Citizens Committee, and I am briefing the Executive Committee on it today. We do have a Long-Range Plan Committee, which Kathy chaired. There is a bit of awkwardness here as to where do these matters properly belong. Those are some of the things that I think we can probably address and help ourselves in terms of having good, clear direction. There is a Long-Range Planning Committee.

DELEGATE BYRON: I've chaired the Long-Range Planning Committee, and we had the Finance Committee and Senator Wampler, Senator Wampler was the Director of Finance, I don't know, but my question to you is two-fold. Maybe this is one of those where we had to go out there and get a quorum. When did we do this Citizens Committee?

DELEGATE KILGORE: Out at UVA Wise.

DELEGATE BYRON: Who is on the Citizens Committee?

MR. STEPHENSON: Chairman Hawkins made that appointment in the Commission meeting one day, and he named about ten individuals and asked them to form a Citizens Committee and then gave them a specific charge on that day. They met once in January and once in February and once in April. I think I can read the names to you here.

DELEGATE BYRON: Wouldn't it be appropriate to bring these ideas in front of the Long-Range Committee and we could flesh it out before we go to the Full Commission?

MR. STEPHENSON: Absolutely.

MR. WALKER: I am on that Committee, and at the meeting in January I was able to attend. The last meeting they had was called rather abruptly, and we only had a week's notice. I couldn't change my schedule to be at that meeting. But if you would take time to go over and read the minutes it is quite lengthy. You'll see, and I think maybe this Committee has gotten off-focus from what Chairman Hawkins' original vision was. Maybe your Committee, the Long-Range Planning Committee, could help them get focused on what Chairman Hawkins really wanted us to do and to work on.

DELEGATE BYRON: That is something I would be very interested in seeing. I was not aware with all our schedules, the schedule that we have had addressing legislative things, I haven't looked at the minutes, and I need to do that. I would hope in the future maybe the minutes would be sent to the Committee. If they were doing Education I am sure Senator Ruff would like to have a little bit of an idea of some of the things going on as well. Perhaps I'll look at those and we can try to do that instead of bringing all that before the Full Commission meeting when we have so many other issues in front of us at the time.

MR. STEPHENSON: It would be my hope, Kathy, that if we have a strategic planning session that that would be the best forum in which to deal with these housekeeping issues of how we conduct ourselves, how we manage our monies and so

1 forth when there are no grants in front of us and no approvals at that time. SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, I think I understand what 2 Ned is talking about, about the planning session, although I will say that I have not been 3 focused, and I'm sure many other members of the Commission, we are where we need to 4 be in twelve months in terms of planning. I don't know if the Special Projects 5 Committee, we changed our guidelines, and I know that we have a greater deal of 6 definition with regards to what we can and cannot do without agreement of the Full 7 8 Commission. I guess what I'm trying to say is that I think I've said something about what other members are saying. I need a better understanding of where we are heading with 9 strategic investments as it relates to the Special Projects Committee. Maybe I'm making 10 too much out of it, but I guess I had better take Mr. Stephenson on his request to find time 11 12 to meet with him to get a better understanding. I am a little uneasy that we go outside of our left and right boundaries and redefine what the core mission, how we achieve that 13 core mission of the Tobacco Commission. I hope that makes sense to the other members, 14 and that is just a concern that I had. I don't know exactly where we are heading. 15 DELEGATE KILGORE: I think what Ned was getting at earlier 16 was that this is why a lot of these meetings are going to occur and why we need to talk 17 about this, because we don't want to get outside of our core responsibilities. 18 19 SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, let me say it another way if 20 I can. Assuming we are not going to securitize. 21 DELEGATE KILGORE: Unless you are in the junk bond market. SENATOR WAMPLER: I think we have a pretty good plan where 22 23 we are heading, and I realize that can be modified from time to time. I worry a little bit about spending a lot of time going outside that left and right boundary. I guess that is all 24 I need to say, thank you. 25 DELEGATE KILGORE: Your point is well taken. Any other 26 27 comments or questions? MR. WALKER: Does Ned want us to go over this plan and endorse 28 it about having this strategic planning meeting or session? 29 MR. STEPHENSON: As the Committee wishes, I bring it to you as 30 information so that you are apprised. The Citizens Committee is headed toward the Full 31 Commission with a report containing these matters. I thought the Executive Committee 32 should be apprised of that. 33 34 DELEGATE KILGORE: Some of us who have been involved in other things, are those minutes on the Web Site so we can read those? 35 MR. STEPHENSON: They are. 36 DELEGATE KILGORE: I would encourage the rest of you to read 37 those minutes before the Full Commission meeting if there is going to be a suggestion. 38 DELEGATE BYRON: This is scheduled around a Full Commission 39 meeting, July 22nd, correct? 40 DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes. 41 DELEGATE BYRON: My question is this, Ned. Have you looked 42

at the format for this facilitation? I've been involved in some facilitations in the past that

in line with everyone's time and whether or not the Commission members, I think we 2 need to consider whether or not, this is costly for everyone, but we need to make sure that 3 we have a good representation if we move forward with that. 4 MR. STEPHENSON: Part of the thinking there was to join the 5 planning with the Full Commission meeting so that would encourage them to be there 6 but, yes, time is important. 7 8 DELEGATE BYRON: The day before there are other meetings, and 9 that is something else. MR. STEPHENSON: There are not to be any other meetings 10 scheduled the day before related to the Commission. I'm not saying that won't happen, 11 but there are not currently any meetings scheduled on the calendar. We are very open to 12 those kind of comments to make sure that everyone has the best chance of being there 13 with full attendance. 14 DELEGATE KILGORE: Nothing is set in stone? 15 MR. STEPHENSON: No, except at some point, for planning 16 purposes for the facilitator, we need to have a date on the calendar that we all work 17 toward. The date, for what it is worth, Kathy, was to be in July, and that didn't work well, 18 19 and it was too much too fast, so it got delayed until October. DELEGATE BYRON: Have you looked at the agenda, or are we 20 21 reworking our mission? With workforce counsel we have similar meetings, and we have gone in and reworked our mission definition, so I figured it would be helpful to know 22 23 what that discussion is going to be around. MR. STEPHENSON: John Rosenblum, the facilitator, indicated 24 that he would try to get some material out to you ahead of time. I don't think there is any 25 intent to rewrite or restructure the mission more than making sure that we are running 26 within the boundaries of what we built to take the plan we have and stick with it. 27 MR. WALKER: When you read the minutes you will hear about it. 28 SENATOR RUFF: Do I understand the Citizens Committee is going 29 to make a presentation at the May meeting? 30 MR. STEPHENSON: Yes, sir. 31 SENATOR RUFF: There are going to be two strategic planning 32 meetings, one in Southwest and one in Southside? 33 34 MR. STEPHENSON: No, only one, and that is currently with the Full Commission meeting in October and not July. There was one version that came out 35 earlier and then moved from July to October in Clarksville, only one meeting. 36 37 38 SENATOR RUFF: So the Citizens Committee is not going to be offended that no action is taken that will take place until after that strategic planning 39 meeting? 40 41 MR. STEPHENSON: I would hope not. SENATOR RUFF: I was thinking that as we go into it that will be 42 the goal to move it to a strategic planning meeting so they don't feel like they are ignored.

I wasn't overly satisfied with, so I would hope that you would look at that and feel that is

1

1	MR. STEPHENSON: I think their only motive is to come to the
2	Commission and want to have a planning session, here are some suggested dates.
3	SENATOR RUFF: They are not looking for us to vote to change
4	this?
5	MR. STEPHENSON: No, they want to have the session and bring
6	some issues out onto the table that can be dealt with in whatever manner the Commission
7	sees fit.
8	DELEGATE DUDLEY: What is the planning thing that we are
9	talking about, is that
10	DELEGATE KILGORE: It is actually October in Clarksville.
11	DELEGATE DUDLEY: That has nothing to do with the Citizens
12	Committee at all?
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: The Commission is still going to have a
14	meeting in Bristol in July. So that is the Full Commission meeting in July, and then the
15	Full Commission will meet in Clarksville, and that is when the strategic planning will
16	take place.
17	MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Chairman, I have something else that
18	might be of interest to the Executive Committee. Just yesterday I got a letter that came to
19	me from a person I did not know, but I want to read you part of it. This letter is
20	addressed to the Tobacco Commission, and it says: I'm not sure to whom I should
21	address this letter. The Virginia Tobacco Commission's teacher tuition forgiveness
22	program has allowed me to complete my first semester of graduate school at Averett
23	University. I am inspired, I cannot wait to begin teaching in Pittsylvania County.
24	However, while I wait I am most thankful for you expanding my degree and ability by the
25	Commission forgiveness plan. I just wanted to write and let you know the story of one
26	Southside teacher to be helped and helping others, and thanks to the Virginia Tobacco
27	Commission teacher tuition forgiveness program. Thank you a thousand times, thank
28	you. Signed, Caroline Stainback. I don't know this lady but this is a success story.
29	DELEGATE KILGORE: Anything further?
30	DELEGATE JOHNSON: I have one thing, Mr. Chairman. The
31	money spent on education is the best money we have spent, and I firmly believe that. I
32	don't think we could invest in anything better than education.
33	DELEGATE KILGORE: I will agree with that, as evidenced by that
34	one letter.
35	Anyone have anything else?
36	SENATOR WAMPLER: No, thank you for checking.
37	MR. WALKER: I move we adjourn.
38	DELEGATE KILGORE: It's been moved and seconded that we
39	adjourn. We are adjourned.
40	DDOGEEDINGS CONCLUDED
41	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.
42	CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER

1	
2	I, Medford W. Howard, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
3	Public for the State of Virginia at large, do hereby certify that I was the court reporter
4	who took down and transcribed the proceedings of the Executive Committee Meeting
5	when held on Wednesday, May 5, 2004 at 11:00 a.m. at the Hotel Roanoke and
6	Conference Center, Roanoke, Virginia.
7	I further certify this is a true and accurate transcript to the best of my
8	ability to hear and understand the proceedings.
9	Given under my hand this 30th day of May, 2004.
0	
1	
12	
13	
14	Medford W. Howard
15	Registered Professional Reporter
16	Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large
17	
18	
19	
20	My Commission Expires: October 31, 2006.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	